

=

THE MEAN VALUE OF $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2$ AT THE ZEROS OF $Z^{(k)}(t)$

C. Yalçın Yıldırım

Introduction. The functional equation of the Riemann zeta-function may be expressed in the asymmetric form

$$(1) \quad \zeta(1-s) = \chi(1-s)\zeta(s); \quad \chi(1-s) = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The real function $Z(t)$ is defined as

$$(2) \quad Z(t) = \left\{ \chi\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)$$

and we put

$$(3) \quad Z_k(s) = (\chi(s))^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^k}{ds^k} \left((\chi(s))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta(s) \right)$$

so that $|Z_k(\frac{1}{2} + it)| = |Z^{(k)}(t)|$.

In [1] Conrey and Ghosh proved on the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) that

$\sum_{0 < \gamma_1 \leq T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_1)|^2 \sim \frac{e^{2-\delta} TL^2}{2\pi}$. Here $\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_1$ runs through the zeros of $Z_1(s)$ ($|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_1)|$ is a maximum on $\text{Re } s = \frac{1}{2}$) and $L = \log \frac{T}{2\pi}$. They also stated on RH

$\sum_{0 < \gamma_1 \leq T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_1 + i\frac{2\pi\alpha}{L})|^2 \sim C(\alpha) \frac{TL^2}{2\pi}$ giving $C(\alpha)$ explicitly and deduced that the gaps between the maxima of $\zeta(s)$ can be 1.4 times the expected average. In this paper we prove the following extension of this result.

Theorem. Assume RH and let k be a fixed natural number. Let γ_k run through the zeros of $Z^{(k)}(t)$ (i.e. $Z_k(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_k) = 0$). Then as $T \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 < \gamma_k < T} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_k + i\frac{2\pi\alpha}{L}\right) \right|^2 &= \frac{TL^2}{2\pi} \left\{ 1 + 2(k+1) \frac{\cos 2\pi\alpha - 1}{(2\pi\alpha)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{e^{u_j} - u_j - 1}{u_j^2} \right\} + O_k(TL) \\ &\sim \frac{TL^2}{2\pi} c_k(\alpha), \quad \text{say,} \end{aligned}$$

where $u_j = -2\nu_j + 2\pi\alpha i$ and ν_j is a zero of the k -th partial sum for the exponential function, i.e.: $1 + \nu_j + \frac{\nu_j^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\nu_j^k}{k!} = 0$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$).

Assuming RH, $Z(t)$ has asymptotically $\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T$ zeros in $[0, T]$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. By repeated application of Rolle's theorem the same is true of $Z^{(k)}(t)$. Comparison with the classical result $\int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2 dt \sim T \log T$ (see [4], Ch. 7) makes it clear that the average of $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_k)|^2$ over the zeros, $\gamma_k \in [0, T]$, of $Z^k(t)$ is $c_k(0)$ times the average of $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2$ over all $t \in [0, T]$. The sum over the zeros of partial sums of e^x has been evaluated in [6] as

$$(4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{e^{-2\nu_j} + 2\nu_j - 1}{\nu_j^2} = \begin{cases} 2k + 2 + \frac{2}{k} + O\left(\frac{\log k}{k^2}\right) & (k \text{ odd and } k > 1) \\ 2k + 2 - \frac{6}{k} + O\left(\frac{\log k}{k^2}\right) & (k \text{ even}) . \end{cases}$$

Using (4) in the Theorem we have

Corollary.
$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_k < T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_k)|^2 \sim \begin{cases} \frac{TL^2}{2\pi} \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} + O\left(\frac{\log k}{k^2}\right)\right) & (k \text{ odd and } k > 1) \\ \frac{TL^2}{2\pi} \left(1 - \frac{3}{k} + O\left(\frac{\log k}{k^2}\right)\right) & (k \text{ even}) \end{cases}$$

From the Theorem we may compute values for β_k such that for all sufficiently large T there exists γ_k and γ_k^+ , consecutive zeros of $Z^{(k)}(t)$ with $T < \gamma_k < \gamma_k^+ \leq 2T$ and $\gamma_k^+ - \gamma_k > \beta_k \frac{2\pi}{L}$. The computation is based on determining β_k such that $\int_{-\beta_k/2}^{\beta_k/2} c_k(\alpha) d\alpha = 1$.

A table of the values of $c_k(0)$ and β_k for $1 \leq k \leq 28$ has been given in [5]. As k increases β_k decreases monotonically. Some sample values are $\beta_1 = 1.4$, $\beta_2 = 1.295$, $\beta_3 = 1.224$, $\beta_{28} = 1.035$.

Preliminaries. In this section we present the lemmas that will be used in the proof. The constants implied by \ll or O symbols may, in general, depend on k but we suppress this in our notation as k is a fixed integer and $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1. *The function $\chi(s)$ defined in (1) satisfies in $|\text{Im } s| = |t| \geq 1$*

$$\frac{\chi'(s)}{\chi(s)} = -\log \frac{|t|}{2\pi} + O\left(\frac{1}{1+|t|}\right) \text{ and } \left(\frac{\chi'(s)}{\chi(s)}\right)^{(k)} \ll \frac{1}{1+|t|} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

For the proof see [3].

By differentiating (1) k times the functional equation of $Z_k(s)$ is obtained:

Lemma 2. $Z_k(s) = (-1)^k \chi(s) Z_k(1-s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3. Assuming RH, $Z_k(s)$ has at most $O(\log T)$ zeros with ordinates in $[0, T]$ off the critical line.

Lemma 3 is shown in the same way as in the case $k = 1$ (see [1] or [5]).

Lemma 4. Assuming R.H., the zeros of $Z_k(s)$ which are not on $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ are within a distance $\frac{1}{9}$ from $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. On R.H. $\frac{\zeta^{(j)}(s)}{\zeta}(s) \ll (\log t)^{j+1-2\sigma}$ uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma_0 < \sigma \leq \sigma_1 < 1$ and $t \geq 2$. The case $j = 1$ is Theorem 14.5 of Titchmarsh [4], and applying Cauchy's theorem in a disk of radius $(\log \frac{t}{2\pi})^{-1}$ around s the bound for $\frac{\zeta^{(j)}(s)}{\zeta}(s)$ for $j > 1$ is obtained. Thus $\frac{Z_k(s)}{\zeta}(s) \ll (\log t)^{k+1-2\sigma} + (\log t)^k$ (cf. Eq. (3)) and the assertion follows immediately.

Lemma 5. Let $\mathcal{Z}_k(s, T) = (\frac{L}{2} + \frac{d}{ds})^k \zeta(s)$, where $L = \log \frac{T}{2\pi}$. Assuming R.H.,

$$\frac{Z'_k(s)}{Z_k(s)} - \frac{\mathcal{Z}'_k(s, T)}{\mathcal{Z}_k(s, T)} \ll \frac{U}{T}.$$

for $\sigma \geq \frac{5}{8}$ and $T \leq t \leq T + U \leq 2T$.

Proof. In [1] it was proved upon R.H. that $\frac{Z'_1(s)}{Z_1(s)} - \frac{\mathcal{Z}'_1(s, T)}{\mathcal{Z}_1(s, T)} \ll \frac{U}{T}$ and the result can be proved by induction on k (see [5]).

Lemma 6. Assume R.H. At $s = 1$ $\mathcal{Z}_k(s, T)$ has a pole of order $k + 1$. There are k zeros of $\mathcal{Z}_k(s, T)$ located at $z_j = 1 - \frac{2}{L} \nu_j + O_k(\frac{1}{L^2})$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$), where ν_j 's are the roots of $\sum_{r=0}^k \frac{\nu^r}{r!} = 0$. There are no other zeros or poles of $\mathcal{Z}_k(s, T)$ with $\frac{5}{8} \leq \sigma \leq 2$. Thus we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{Z}'_k(s - i\delta)}{\mathcal{Z}_k(s - i\delta)} = \frac{-(k+1)}{s - i\delta - 1} + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{s - i\delta - z_j} + W(s, T)$$

where $W(s, T)$ is regular for $\frac{5}{8} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{9}{8}$.

Proof. The statement about the poles follows from the facts that $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$ has a pole of order $k + 1$ at $s = 1$ and $\zeta(s)$ and its derivatives don't have any other poles in $\sigma \geq \frac{5}{8}$. Since we assume R.H. we may consider $W_k(s) =: \left(\frac{2}{L}\right)^k \frac{\mathcal{Z}_k(s, T)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{\zeta^{(j)}(s)}{\zeta(s)} \left(\frac{2}{L}\right)^j$.

The change in the argument of $W_k(s)$ along the rectangle with vertices $2 \pm iT$ and $\frac{5}{8} \pm iT$ is 0 because, for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $\frac{1}{L^j} \frac{\zeta^{(j)}(s)}{\zeta(s)} = o(L)$ on this rectangle. By the argument principle the number of zeros of $W_k(s)$ is equal to the number of its poles counted according to multiplicity and the latter is k .

Let now $\eta = 1 - \frac{2}{L}\mu$. Since $\frac{\zeta^{(j)}(\eta)}{\zeta(\eta)} = \frac{(-1)^j j!}{(\eta-1)^j} + \sum_{n=-(j-1)}^{\infty} C_{jn}(\eta-1)^n$, where C_{jn} are constants, we have

$$W_k(\eta) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \mu^{-j} j! + \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \left(\frac{2}{L}\right)^j \sum_{n=-(j-1)}^{\infty} C_{jn} \left(\frac{-2}{L}\mu\right)^n$$

so that if $W_k(\eta) = 0$ then $\mu = \nu + O\left(\frac{1}{L}\right)$ where $\sum_{r=0}^k \frac{\nu^r}{r!} = 0$. Hence we conclude that $\mathcal{Z}_k(s, T)$ has k zeros located at $z_j = 1 - \frac{2}{L}\nu_j + O\left(\frac{1}{L^2}\right)$; $j = 1, \dots, k$.

Lemma 7. For $\sigma \geq \frac{5}{8}$, there is an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{Z}'_k(s, T)}{\mathcal{Z}_k(s, T)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(m)}{m^s} + O(T^{-1})$$

where, as $T \rightarrow \infty$, for any $\epsilon > 0$ $a_k(m) = a_k(m, L) \ll_{\epsilon} T^{\epsilon}$ for $m \ll T$.

This result has been proved in [2].

We quote the last lemma from Gonek [3]:

Lemma 8. Let $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $b_n \ll n^{\epsilon}$. Let $\sigma > 1$ and let j be a non-negative integer. Then for sufficiently large T

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_1^T \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m m^{-\sigma-it} \right) \chi(1-\sigma-it) \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^j dt = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq \frac{T}{2\pi}} b_n (\log n)^j + O(T^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} (\log T)^j).$$

Proof of the Theorem. As the real part of the zeros of $Z_k(s)$ are in $(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{9})$ (Lemma 4) the residue theorem allows us to write

$$\sum_{\substack{\rho_k = \beta_k + i\gamma_k \\ T < \gamma_k < T+U}} \zeta(\rho_k + i\delta)\zeta(1 - \rho_k - i\delta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_R \frac{Z'_k}{Z_k}(s)\zeta(s + i\delta)\zeta(1 - s - i\delta)ds ,$$

where we take R to be the rectangle with vertices $\frac{5}{8} + iT$, $\frac{5}{8} + i(T+U)$, $\frac{3}{8} + i(T+U)$, $\frac{3}{8} + iT$, described in the positive sense.

On R.H., by Lemmas 3 and 4, only $O(L)$ of the zeros of $Z_k(s)$ are off the critical line and for such zeros ρ_k to the right of $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ $|\zeta(\rho_k)|^2 \ll T^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Thus

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\substack{\rho_k = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_k \\ T < \gamma_k < T+U}} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_k + i\delta\right) \right|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_R \frac{Z'_k}{Z_k}(s)\zeta(s + i\delta)\zeta(1 - s - i\delta)ds + O(T^{\frac{1}{4}}L) .$$

We can assume without loss of generality that the contour R is at a distance $\gg L^{-1}$ from the zeros of $Z_k(s)$. Then the integral along the horizontal sides is $\ll T^{\frac{1}{7}}$ and it can be absorbed into the last error term. Using the functional equations of $\zeta(s)$ and $\frac{Z'_k}{Z_k}(s)$, Lemma 1 and the well-known result $\int_T^{T+U} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2 dt = UL + O(U) + O(T^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})$ (see Theorem 7.4 [4]), with $U = T^{\frac{3}{4}}$, (5) is reduced to

$$(6) \quad \sum_{T < \gamma_k < T+U} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_k + i\delta\right) \right|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{5}{8} + iT}^{\frac{5}{8} + i(T+U)} \frac{Z'_k}{Z_k}(s) (\zeta(s + i\delta))^2 \chi(1 - s - i\delta) ds \\ = 2 \operatorname{Re} I + \frac{UL^2}{2\pi} + O(UL) , \quad \text{say .}$$

In the integrand of I changing $\frac{Z'_k}{Z_k}(s)$ to $\frac{Z'_k}{Z_k}(s, T)$ (cf. Lemma 5), produces an error of $O(U^2 T^{-\frac{7}{8}+\epsilon})$. Next, in order to use the Dirichlet series approximation to $\frac{Z'_k}{Z_k}(s - i\delta, T)$ we move the integral to $\sigma = \frac{9}{8}$ where the approximating series is absolutely convergent. Then by Lemma 7, estimating the integral on the horizontal sides trivially, we have

$$I = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{9}{8} + iT}^{\frac{9}{8} + i(T+U)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(m)m^{i\delta}}{m^s} \chi(1 - s) (\zeta(s))^2 ds + O(T^{\frac{5}{8}}) + O(U^2 T^{-\frac{7}{8}+\epsilon}) .$$

Applying Lemma 8 we get

$$I = \sum_{\frac{T}{2\pi} \leq mn \leq \frac{T+U}{2\pi}} a_k(m) m^{i\delta} d(n) + O_\varepsilon(T^{\frac{5}{8}+\varepsilon}).$$

This sum is converted back to another integral via Perron's inversion formula so that

$$I = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{9}{8}-iT}^{\frac{9}{8}+iT} \frac{Z'_k}{Z_k}(s-i\delta, T) (\zeta(s))^2 ds \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O_\varepsilon(x^{\frac{9}{8}} T^{-1} + x^\varepsilon) \right] \Bigg|_{x=\frac{T}{2\pi}}^{x=\frac{T+U}{2\pi}} + O_\varepsilon(T^{\frac{5}{8}+\varepsilon}).$$

The last integral can be evaluated using the residue theorem by carrying the line of integration to $\sigma = \frac{5}{8}$. The residues are calculated from the information in Lemma 6 and returning to (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\rho_k = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_k \\ T < \gamma_k < T+U}} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_k + i\delta)|^2 &= \frac{UL^2}{2\pi} \left[1 + 2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{e^{-2\nu_j + 2\pi\alpha i} - (-2\nu_j + 2\pi\alpha i) - 1}{(-2\nu_j + 2\pi\alpha i)^2} \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(k+1) \frac{\cos(2\pi\alpha) - 1}{(2\pi\alpha)^2} \right] + O(UL) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{L}$ with $|\alpha| \leq 2$, say. This is the desired result with $U = T^{\frac{3}{4}}$ from which the theorem is readily deduced.

References

- [1] J.B. Conrey and A. Ghosh, A mean value theorem for the Riemann zeta-function at its relative extrema on the critical line, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **32** (1985), 193-202.
- [2] J.B. Conrey and A. Ghosh, Zeros of derivatives of the Riemann zeta-function near the critical line, preprint.
- [3] S.M. Gonek, Mean values of the Riemann zeta-function and its derivatives, *Invent. Math.* **75** (1984), 123-141.
- [4] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function* (2nd ed.), Oxford University Press, 1986.
- [5] C.Y. Yıldırım, Zeta function theory: Pair correlation and value distribution, Thesis, University of Toronto, 1990.
- [6] C.Y. Yıldırım, A sum over the zeros of partial sums of e^x , to appear.

Department of Mathematics
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario, CANADA, M5S 1A1